UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,491	09/05/2003	Dagmar Beyerlein	5618.P3653	8370
James C. Scheller BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
			WITCZAK, CATHERINE	
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/656,491	BEYERLEIN, DAGMAR			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		CATHERINE N. WITCZAK	3767			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	arch 2008				
•		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	x pares Quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hu (US 2,646,042).

Hu discloses in Figure 1 a system comprising a needle (20) with a first (28 – distal opening of the inner needle) and second (29) opening, with a lumen (lumen of the outer needle which surrounds the inner needle) between the first opening and the second opening; and a fluid pressure sensor (50) configured to measure a first, second, and third pressure change as therapeutic agent is injected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu in view of Hu.

Hu discloses the claimed invention except for expressly sidclosing the size of the aperture, distance of the aperture from the end of the needle, and outer/inner diameter of the syringe. At the time

the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary

skill in the art to change the are, distance, and diameters because Applicant has not disclosed that these

values provide an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary

skill in the art would have been able to change these values in order to control the amount of flow and

location of outflow as desired. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to

modify Hu to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5, 7, 9, and 10.

3. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu as modified

by Sakariassen (US 5,662,107).

Hu discloses the claimed invention except for a computer processor coupled to the assembly.

Sakariassen discloses in Figure 1 that it is known to use a computer processor coupled to a fluid pressure

assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the device of Hu with a computer processor as taught by Sakariassen, since such a

modification would provide more accurate, more sophisticated, easier to display feedback than a manual

pressure indicator.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/2/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the newly added limitations to the indepedent claim overcome the prior art of

record. Examiner disagrees and points Applicant to the above listed rejection as to why the prior art still

meets the Applicant's claimed invention.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,491 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Catherine N Witczak/

Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Kevin C. Sirmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767